

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,931	04/15/2004	Thomas A. Gentles	1842.048US1	7554
70648 SCHWEGMA	7590 05/22/200 N. LUNDBERG & WO	EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			MCCULLOCH JR, WILLIAM H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/824,931
 GENTLES ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 William H. McCulloch
 3714

All participants (applicants representative, PTO personner).					
(1) William H. McCulloch.	(3) John Fischer.				
(2) <u>John Hotaling</u> .	(4) <u>Scott Schulhof</u> .				
Date of Interview: 15 May 2008.					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 8.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 2003/0069074 to Jackson and US 2002/0116615 to Nguyen et al.</u>					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a 614="" a="" and="" applicant's="" approval="" authorizing="" checking="" corresponds="" discussed="" game="" gaming="" href="Applicant's representatives and Examiners discussed proposed claim language.examiners indicated that the proposed amendments would not overcome the current grounds of rejection. Examiners indicated in Jackson that the " in="" interface="" invention.<="" its="" jurisdictional="" of="" official="" representative="" td="" the="" to="" use'="" user=""></a>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.